

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	The Supply, Delivery, Implementation, Commissioning, Support and Maintenance of an Integrated Business Registration System (iBRS) for BIPA for a period of Five(5) years
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	G/RB/CPBN-01/2024
3.	Date of Submission of Report	30 April 2025
4.	Contract Number	G/RB/CPBN-01/2024
5.	Scope of Contract	General Conditions of Contract
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$ 40,000,000.00 (VAT Incl.)
7.	Funding Agency	BIPA
8.	Procurement Method Used	Restricted Bidding (Goods)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	01 November 2024
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	12 December 2024
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	12 December 2024
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Six (6)

2

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages							Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Eligibility Examination	Stage2 Conflict of Interest	Stage3 Preliminary Examination	Stage4 Mandatory Requirements	Stage5 Technical Specifications (Scoring)	Stage 6 Mandatory Financial Requirements	Stage 7 System Demonstration	
2	Pesa Flow JV B Systems	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial the following pages as per evaluation criteria 3.2 on page 54 of the bidding document. : - Reference letter for B Systems Limited: Uganda Personal Security System. Page 95 - Pesa Flow's Tax Computation for Year of Income 2021. - Pesa Flow's Last page of the Statement of Financial Position - Pesa Flow's Anthony Githaka's reference letter from the University of Nairobi to CPBN.
5	Foster Moore International Limited	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder was found non-responsive for the following reasons : - Pages 88-323 and others on various pages in the bidding document were initialed. In addition, some pages that were initialed, were done so by two distinctly different initials by both the authorised person with Power of Attorney and another one without the Power of Attorney, contrary to criteria 3.2 on page 54 of the bidding document. - The Bid Submission Form was not duly filled in. The Bid amount, both in figures and words, was not specified, contrary to criteria 3.3 on page 54 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages							Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Eligibility Examination	Stage2 Conflict of Interest	Stage3 Preliminary Examination	Stage4 Mandatory Requirements	Stage5 Technical Specifications (Scoring)	Stage 6 Mandatory Financial Requirements	Stage 7 System Demonstration	
									The 180 validity days were not specified in the bid, contrary to criteria 3.4 on page 54 of the bidding document.
6	SILNAM Information Technology Solutions (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial the following pages as per criteria 3.2 on page 54 of the bidding document. - Power of Attorney - Joint Venture(JV) Agreement - Letter of Intent for JV.
1	Green Enterprises Solutions Pty (Ltd)	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	The bidder did not obtain the minimum system demonstration score of 80% as per STAGE 7: POST QUALIFICATION requirement at the bottom of the table on page 82 of the bidding document. Instead, the bidder scores 46% in the systems demonstration.
4	Norway Registers Development AS	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	The bidder did not obtain the minimum system demonstration score of 80% as per STAGE 7: POST QUALIFICATION requirement at the bottom of the table on page 82 of the bidding document. Instead, the bidder scores 70% in the systems demonstration.
3	Beijing CSSCA Technologies Co Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified

10

14. PRICE COMPARISON OF RESPONSIVE BID

The BEC validated the price quoted by the bidder to ensure that there are no arithmetic errors in terms of Section 52(5) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015, as amended

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Bid price(s) read out Bid Opening (VAT Inclusive) N\$	Corrections of errors	Discounts	Proposed Award Amount (VAT Inclusive) N\$	Address
3	Beijing CSSCA Technologies Co Ltd	39,873,567.48	None	None	39,873,567.48	37 A. Markus Gavy Str, Ludwigsdorf, Windhoek, Namibia
		Bid price, including VAT: Thirty Nine Million, Eight Hundred and Seventy-Three Thousand Five Hundred and Sixty-Seven Namibia Dollar and Fort- Eight Cents				


15. BEST EVALUATED BID

**Select For Award of Contract:
Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved it in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015, as amended.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Bid price(s) read out Bid Opening (VAT Inclusive) N\$	Corrections of errors	Discounts	Proposed Award Amount (VAT Inclusive) N\$	Address
3	Beijing CSSCA Technologies Co Ltd	39,873,567.48	None	None	39,873,567.48	37 A. Markus Garvy Str, Ludwigsdorf, Windhoek, Namibia
		Bid price, including VAT: Thirty Nine Million, Eight Hundred and Seventy-Three Thousand Five Hundred and Sixty-Seven Namibia Dollar and Forty-Eight Cents				

15 May 2025




Mary Shiimi
Chairperson

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

The Supply, Delivery, Implementation, Commissioning, Support and Maintenance of an Integrated Business Registration System (IBRS) for BIPA for a period of Five(5) years REFERENCE NO: G/RB/CPBN-01/2024

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: