

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	<b>Name of Procurement</b>	Emerging Contractors' Contracts for The Blading of Gravel Roads in The Windhoek Region
2.	<b>CPBN Procurement Reference No</b>	W/ONB/RA-11/2021-WHK
3.	<b>Date of Submission of Report</b>	03 August 2023
4.	<b>Contract Number</b>	W/ONB/RA-11/2021-WHK
5.	<b>Scope of Contract</b>	General Conditions of Contract
6.	<b>Estimated Cost:</b>	N\$ 54,401,385.37 (Inc. VAT)
7.	<b>Funding Agency</b>	Roads Authority (RA)
8.	<b>Procurement Method Used</b>	Open Advertised Bidding (Works)
9.	<b>Date of Invitation of Bids</b>	28 January 2022
10.	<b>Closing Date of Submission of Bids</b>	21 April 2022
11.	<b>Date and Place of Opening of Bids</b>	21 April 2022, Roads Authority
12.	<b>Number of Bids Received by Closing Date</b>	Fifty one (51)

### 13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	
1	Topaz Construction and Engineering CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the site Agent and the Grader Operator CVs as required in criteria 1. a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
2	Globex Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the Tender Notice No.1 from Road's Authority consisting of four (4) pages, as required in criteria 1. a.5</li> </ul>
3	Potenza Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the book of supporting documents, the bidder did not initial the Driver's license of the Grader Operator as required in criteria 1. a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
4	Eponga Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the Tender Notice No.1 from Road's Authority consisting of four (4) pages, as required in criteria 1. a.5</li> </ul>
5	FA Developers CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a duly filled in Bid Submission Sheet Form, the bidder did not fill in Part (s) of the form as required in criteria 1.a.1.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial Form Part E2 as required in criteria 1. a.5.</li> </ul>
6	Fusion Investments CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
7	ANG Contractors Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a Power of Attorney as required in criteria 1.a.3.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial all supporting documents as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	
8	Omid Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> <li>The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in words.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
10	MLG Properties Consultants CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the Good Standing Certificate, Tax Certificate, Affirmative Equity Commissioner as required in criteria 1. a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
11	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
14	Goreseb Trading Enterprises CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the CV for the Diesel Mechanic as required in criteria 1. a.5</li> </ul>
15	Hibachi Investment Holding (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
17	Hoanib Trading Enterprises CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
19	Ndes Civil Works and Construction CC JV Ndes Trading Enterprises CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial Form Part E3 as required in criteria 1. a.5</li> </ul>
20	Flame Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	
21	CapitalGrow Number Three	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
22	Nauyala Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the book of supporting documents, the bidder did not initial the Good Standing Certificate and the Good Standing TAX Certificate as required in criteria 1. a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
23	Sisenbansen Renovation and Building CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
25	Shiveli Trading Enterprise CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial pages 2 and 4 of the Letter of Intent to Lease Equipment from Barloworld that were printed doubled-sided as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> </ul>
26	Ozombara Construction and Renovation CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
27	LP Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial page 200 (GCC) in the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
28	Odojove Trading & Construction (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the letter of intent to lease the Grader Monitoring System from Geale Track Mate and two pages of the Grader Operators CV (ID of Hashange Moses and reference letter) as required in criteria 1.5.1.3.</li> </ul>
29	Survival Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a duly filled in Bid Submission Sheet Form, the bidder did not fill in Part (s) of the Form as required in criteria 1.a.5</li> </ul>
30	Mckenzie Construction	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the book of supporting document as required in criteria 1.5.1.3.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> <li>The Written Special Power of Attorney submitted made provision for two signatures however, only one owner signed it (Moses Niitembu Mwetako) giving himself signatory authority and the other owner failed to sign. Moses Niitembu Mwetako was not supposed to give himself authority but rather both owners/parties. The bidder was deemed non-responsive in criteria 1.a.5 in reference to ITB sub-clause 21.2.</li> <li>The person who signed the bid did not have signatory authority as both owners did not sign on the Power of Attorney.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
32	Queen Mwetako Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	
33	New Successes Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	
34	Jakomina J. Burger Blading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	
37	Brumar Construction CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial page 105 in the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
38	Okarumatero Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial all pages in the book of supporting documents as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
39	Moza Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial eleven (11) pages of the Financial Statement for Year 2020; and four (4) pages in the Bidding Document as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> </ul>
40	ProVentures Investment CC JV Vero Group	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
41	Namibia Welding & Building Civil Works	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in words.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
42	Hoeb Road Construction & Renovation	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial all supporting documents as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
44	Purity Investments CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the Letter of Intent to Lease Equipment and servicing Parts from Barloworld; the entire CVs for the Grader Operator consisting of 9 pages, as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> </ul>
45	Sizuma Investments CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in words.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial all pages in the book of supporting documents as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> </ul>
46	Heavy Scale Investment	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1, the bidder did not fill in Part (s) of the Form on page 67.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial the a Certificate for the Diesel Mechanic as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> </ul>
47	M. Ritcher Construction Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in the space provided.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial the Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate from Employment Equity Commission and page 3 of the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> <li>The bidder did not respond to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 19 January 2023 in accordance with ITB19.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial page 61 of the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5.</li> </ul>
48	D.P.D Civil and Road Maintenance CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder indicated that the form is not applicable to them by crossing the entire form as N/A. The bidder did also not tick the required field/boxes of the historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
9	Boabab Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not complete the declaration of historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 and only indicated as N/A. on page 104 of the bidding document as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
16	Pisces Investment Holdings (PIH) Number Eight CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder indicated that the form is not applicable to them by crossing the entire form as N/A. The bidder did also not tick the required field/boxes of the historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
18	Henimma Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder indicated that the form is not applicable to them by crossing the entire form as N/A. The bidder did also not tick the required field/boxes of the historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
35	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty)Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder indicated that the form is not applicable to them by crossing the entire form as N/A. The bidder did also not tick the required field/boxes of the historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
43	Alugodhi Engineering and Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder indicated that the form is not applicable to them by crossing the entire form as N/A. The bidder did also not tick the required field/boxes of the historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
51	Botter Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not complete the declaration of historical contract non-performance FORM Part F3 and only indicated as N/A on page 104 of the bidding document as required in criteria 8 on page 104 of the bidding document.</li> <li>The bidder did not submit letters of intent from suppliers for the supply of Fuel &amp; Fuel products, Tyre(s) and Grader related equipment &amp; parts as required in criteria d (3).</li> <li>The bidder did not submit any of the equipment listed on page 25 as required in criteria 4.</li> <li>The bidder only submitted Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for the Project / Contracts Manager, Grader Operator and that of the Diesel Mechanic. However, the CV for the Namibian Civil Engineering Graduate Trainee was not submitted as required in criteria 5.</li> <li>The bidder only submitted one (1) financial statement of the year 2020-2021, criteria 6 required that the bidder submit Financial Statements (for Cc) of the last three (3) years and the bidder failed to do so.</li> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the provision of a Grader Monitoring System as required in criteria 7.</li> <li>The bidder did not indicate to own, buy or lease the Diesel Truck (min 5000 lt capacity) as required in criteria 4 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d).</li> <li>The bidder submitted letters of consent from Project/Contract manager, Diesel Mechanic and Namibian Civil Engineer Graduate Interns indicating their consent to be mentored. The bidder did however, not submit consent letters from the three (3) Grader Operators as required in criteria d (5). The bidder also submitted a declaration from the Namibian Police that states that he has received consent to use all the attached CV's by their respective owners but that was not a requirement and the BEC disregarded the declaration.</li> </ul>
24	Beniz Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	
31	Oshikoto Building Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	
49	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	



Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
50	Fillemon Investments and Trucks CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder submitted letters of consent from Project/Contract Manager, Diesel Mechanic and Namibian Civil Engineer Graduate Interns indicating their consent to be mentored. The bidder did however, not submit consent letters from the three (3) Grader Operators as required in criteria d (5). The bidder also submitted a declaration from the Namibian Police that states that he has received consent to use all the attached CV's by their respective owners but that was not a requirement and the BEC did not regard the declaration.</li> </ul>
13	Nessipark Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
12	Efindano Maintenance CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
36	Zero Six Five Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.

**14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S)**

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) as amended and the bidding document ITB 32.1, the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) examined and verified three (3) lowest priced bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.



#	Bidder's Name	Lot NO	Stated Bid Price per Lot (N\$)	Difference (N\$)	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price (N\$)	15% Up/Down Threshold	Budget (N\$)	15% Down	15% up	Ranking
13	Nessipark Investments CC	EC-WHK 03- OKAHANDJA	27,048,837.20	-	27,048,837.20	yes	27,675,188.52	23,523,910.24	31,826,466.80	Recommended
12	Efindano Maintenance CC		27,180,868.70	-	27,180,868.70	yes				2 <sup>nd</sup>
36	Zero Six Five Trading CC		36,082,080.00	-8,419,152.00	27,662,928.00	yes				3 <sup>rd</sup>
13	Nessipark Investments CC	EC-WHK 04- GOBABIS 3	26,150,047.80	-	26,150,047.80	yes	26,726,196.85	22,717,267.32	30,735,126.38	1 <sup>st</sup>
12	Efindano Maintenance CC		26,691,456.30	-	26,691,456.30	yes				Recommended
36	Zero Six Five Trading CC		29,827,228.00	-	29,827,228.00	yes				3 <sup>rd</sup>

**15. BEST EVALUATED BID(S)  
Select For Award of Contract:  
Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved it in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015, as amended.

#	Bidder's Name	Lot NO	Stated Bid Price per Lot (N\$)	Difference (N\$)	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price and Award Amount (INCL. VAT) (N\$)	Physical Address
13	Nessipark Investments CC	EC-WHK 03_ OKAHANDIA	27,048,837.20	-	27,048,837.20	4775 Shanghai Street
12	Efindano Maintenance CC	EC-WHK 04_ GOBABIS 3	26,691,456.30	-	26,691,456.30	Corner of Robert Mugabe and Luther Street
<b>BEC combined total value for all two (2) recommended Lots (N\$):</b>					<b>53,740,293.50</b>	

14 August 2023

  
  
**Amon Ngavetene**  
 Chairperson

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**EMERGING CONTRACTORS' CONTRACTS FOR THE BLADING OF GRAVEL ROAD  
IN THE WINDHOEK REGION  
(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/ONB/RA-11/2021-WHK)**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to  
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....