

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | Name of Procurement | Procurement of Supply and Delivery of Meals in Accordance with Dietary Needs of Patients |
| 2. | CPBN Procurement Reference No | G/OAB/CPBN-01/2022 |
| 3. | Date of Submission of Report | 27 July 2023 |
| 4. | Contract Number | G/OAB/CPBN-01/2022 |
| 5. | Scope of Contract | General Conditions of Contract |
| 6. | Estimated Cost: | N\$ 198,816,000.00 per year (Inc. VAT) |
| 7. | Funding Agency | Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS) |
| 8. | Procurement Method Used | Open Advertised Bidding (OAB) |
| 9. | Date of Invitation of Bids | 29 April 2022 and Re-issued on 12 September 2022 |
| 10. | Closing Date of Submission of Bids | 11 October 2022 |
| 11. | Date and Place of Opening of Bids | 11 October 2022, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia (Bid Opening Room) |
| 12. | Number of Bids Received by Closing Date | Forty-two (42) |

13. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|----|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | | Phase 1 | | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | |
| 29 | Kaptau Consumables Supplies CC | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Declaration of Conflict of Interest: BEC members could not declare conflict of interest for bidder 29 because page 3 of the CC2 document that indicates company's ownership is not provided. From this point the bidder was disqualified for further evaluation. |
| 5 | New Success Investment CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bid Submission Form on page 56 of the SBD is incomplete, contrary to ITB 22.1 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement. |
| 6 | Leni-In Investment CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial page 2 of the Letter of Confirmation of credit line and bank rating, contrary to ITB 23.2/3 of the Preliminary / Administrative Requirement. |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| 7 | Salute Trading CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bid Submission Form on page 56 of the SBD is incomplete, contrary to ITB 22.1 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement. | |
| 9 | Fundamental Trading Enterprises CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial all pages of the power point presentation, contrary to ITB 22.1 of the Preliminary / Administrative Requirement. | |
| 11 | OKG Food Services (Pty) Ltd JV Mukapa Investment CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial the following documents as per ITB 23.2/3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pages 139, 140, 141 of the SBD The Company structure on page 1 | |
| 13 | Kunene Catering Services (Pty) Ltd JV Tomas Nghivalwa Ehafu Stores CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial the following documents as per ITB 23.2/3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company profile of T. Ehafu Wholesale The site visits, authorization to conduct assessments of | |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Ohangwena region (Engela and Eerhona district) |
| 14 | Chakula Food CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial the following documents as per ITB 23.2/3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The table content of the company profile The letter of intent from Pupkewitz. |
| 15 | Eyambeko Namibia Catering Services (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial the following documents as per ITB 23.2/3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Agronomic Board Certificate The last page of the CV and ID for Michelle Hayward CV and ID for Belinda Taylor CV for Martin Karsten Letter and quotation from Pupkewitz Catering |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | | |
| 17 | Medco Marketing and Distribution | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>1. The Bid Submission Form on page 56 of the SBD is incomplete, contrary to ITB 22.1 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement.</p> <p>2. The Bidder failed to initial the following documents as per ITB 23.2/3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pages 55 and 146 of the SBD • letters from Nedbank: Banking confirmation and overdraft facilities letters from Nedbank |
| 20 | Decade Trading CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>The Bidder failed to initial the following documents as per ITB 23.2/3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letter of intent for food storage facilities by Shiimi Electrical • CV, NQA certificate |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | | |
| 32 | Katiki Trading Enterprise CC JV Namibia Freshness (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | academic transcript, Bachelor of Food Science and Nutrition Honours Degree and HPCNA of Omar J.N Shumba. The Bidder failed to initial the cover page (the total price per lot) of the SBD, contrary to ITB 22.1 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement. 98 pages of the SBD are missing, numbering of the bidder's SBD not corresponding to that of the original issued SBD. The bidder did not submit the revised document as emailed on 12 September 2022. | |
| 35 | Tarino Investment CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| 36 | Ozodiac Star Pension Hotel CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>1. The Bid Submission Form on page 56 of the SBD is incomplete, contrary to ITB 22.1 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement.</p> <p>2. The Bidder failed to initial a Letter of Intent to Lease a Warehouse from Vista Insurance Brokers, contrary to ITB 23.2/3 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement.</p> | |
| 37 | Die Mamas Kitchen CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>The Bidder failed to initial page 287, acknowledgement of clarifications 3 and acknowledgement of clarifications 4, contrary to ITB 23.2/3 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement.</p> | |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| 41 | Tuliparwe Catering Services CC | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial Page 96 of the SBD, contrary to ITB 23.2/3 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement. The Bidder failed to initial the letter of intent from Bokomo, contrary to ITB 23.2/3 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement. | |
| 42 | Atlantic Food Services (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder failed to initial the letter of intent from Bokomo, contrary to ITB 23.2/3 of the Preliminary/Administrative Requirement. 1. The Bidder did not submit evidence or letter of intent to purchase, lease agreement or proof of ownership of at least one (1) vehicle with refrigerated and closed/insulated loading boxes as per transport, distribution delivery standard, with minimum of 5 - 7 tons as per ITB 12.1 (n) 8/page 51 (7.3) of the SBD. 2. The Bidder did not submit a BSc degree in Dietetics | |
| 4 | March Nine Investment | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | | |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | |
| | | | | | | | | | <p>or in Human Nutrition as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 152 (8.4) of the SBD.</p> <p>3. The Bidder did not submit the evidence of a nutrient analysis and Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) comparison for at least 3 days of each week of the menu cycle for the male age group 25-50 years as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 163-164, Item 20.10 of the SBD.</p> |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|----|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | | Phase 1 | | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | Financial Evaluation | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | | |
| 8 | African Deli Pty Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>1. The Bidder did not meet the 100% shareholding or 51% equity vested in the Namibian citizens requirement: Erongo Med Agency hold 30% shares in African Deli Pty Ltd. Mr. Kai Vikramkumar a Zimbabwean national with a non-citizen Namibian identity document, holds 100% shares in Erongo Med Agency as required in ITB 5.1 (a) of the SBD.</p> <p>2. The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD.</p> |
| 10 | Gongaleni Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>1. The bidder did not submit evidence of ownership in terms of an asset register or a letter of intent.</p> |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | <p>to purchase of catering tools and equipment as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 154 (11.1 - 11.2), 156 (14) of the SBD.</p> <p>2. The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD.</p> <p>3. The Bidder did not submit the evidence of A nutrient analysis and Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) comparison for at least 3 days of each week of the menu cycle for the male age group 25-50 years as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page</p> |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | Phase 1 | | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | Financial Evaluation | | | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 163-164. Item 20.10 of the SBD. |
| 12 | Gosto Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD. |
| 16 | ETA Investment CC JV Doozie Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD. |
| 21 | Patriot General Services (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | 1. The bidder did not submit a commitment letter of intent to provide Short-Term Insurance from any legally registered financial institutions worth two percent (2%) of the value per lot as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8 / page 153 (9.3) of the SBD. |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|----|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | |
| 23 | Heritage Caters (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <p>2.The Bidder did not submit evidence or letter of intent to purchase, lease agreement or proof of ownership of at least one (1) vehicle with refrigerated and closed/insulated loading boxes as per transport, distribution delivery standard, with minimum of 5 - 7 tons as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 51 (7.3) of the SBD.</p> <p>1.The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD.</p> <p>2.The Bidder did not submit a BSc degree in Dietetics or in Human Nutrition as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 152 (8.4) of the SBD.</p> |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---|---------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | | |
| 24 | Ompadhi Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD. | |
| 27 | Clouds Trading Enterprise CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | 1.The bidder did not submit a commitment letter of intent to provide Short-Term Insurance from any legally registered financial Institutions worth two percent (2%) of the value per lot as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 153 (9.3) of the SBD. 2.The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD. 3.The Bidder did not submit the evidence of A nutrient analysis and Recommended | |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|----|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | |
| 31 | Mono Trading CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The undersigned person that is being granted power is the same as the duly authorised person to confirm such special power of attorney (the person signed on both spaces). No resolution attached. ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 62 of the SBD. |
| 33 | Future Fresh Retailer CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD. |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--|---------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | | |
| 34 | Florida Trading CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not provide a BSc degree in Dietetics or in Human Nutrition as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page152 (8.4) of the SBD. | |
| 38 | Passions Culinary and Hospitality Institute CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | 1. The bidder did not submit a commitment letter of intent to provide Short-Term Insurance from any legally registered financial institutions worth two (2%) percent of the value per lot as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 153 (9.3) of the SBD. 2. The Bidder did not submit evidence or letter of intent to purchase, lease agreement or proof of ownership of at least one (1) vehicle with refrigerated and closed/insulated loading boxes as per transport, distribution delivery standard, with | |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | | | |
|----|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 | | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <p>minimum of 5 - 7 tons as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 51 (7.3) of the SBD.</p> <p>3. The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD.</p> <p>4. The Bidder did not provide a BSc degree in Dietetics or in Human Nutrition as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 152 (8.4) of the SBD.</p> |
| 40 | Gourmet Chef Catering CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | | | <p>1. The bidder did not submit a commitment letter of intent to provide Short-Term Insurance from any legally registered financial institutions worth two percent (2%) of the value per lot as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 153 (9.3) of the SBD.</p> |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| 25 | Efundja Caterers (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Responsive & Disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | 2. The Bidder did not provide the certified copy of trader's license from Namibia Agronomic Board as required in ITB 12.1 (h) 8/page 148 (2.9) of the SBD. The bidder failed to obtain the minimum Technical Evaluation Score of 70% or more as required in Technical Evaluation criteria on page 49 of the SBD. The bidder scored 50% out of 100%. The bidder did not quote for "Fresh Milk 1litre" (indicated as N/A) on the price schedule form for the duration of the contract (3 years) for the two regions they have bid for, contrary to page 50 of the SBD Item 6.2 of the Mandatory Financial Requirement evaluation. |
| 30 | Tafina Trading CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | Phase 4 | |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | | |
| 1 | ART Investments CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified |
| 2 | Oshipaya Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified |
| 3 | Tuthikameni Pamwe Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified |
| 18 | Pamo Trading Enterprises CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified. |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified. |
| 22 | Ongurukena Investment Fourteen CC Trading as Moringa Meat Chesa Mama | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified. |
| 26 | Nutri Food Pty Ltd JV Trade Vest Holding Group Pty Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified. |

| # | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage | | | | | | | | Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive | |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | | Phase 1 | | | | Phase 2 | | Phase 3 | | | Phase 4 |
| | | Eligibility Evaluation | Preliminary / Administrative Requirement | Conflict of Interest | Mandatory Requirements Document Evaluation | Technical Evaluation Criteria | Mandatory Financial Requirement | Post-Qualification Evaluation Checklist: Warehousing and Transportation | Financial Evaluation | | |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified. | |
| 39 | Azania Nineteen Trading CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Bidder not disqualified. | |

14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S):

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) as amended, the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) examined and verified the bidder's price schedule that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

| Bidder No. | Bidder Name | Lot | Bid Price as per Bid Opening Date (N\$) | Discount Offered (N\$) | Error/Difference (N\$) | Corrected Bid Price (N\$) | Ranking (Lowest - Highest Bidder) | Recommended/Alternate |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 26 | Nutri Food Pty Ltd JV Trade Vest Holding Group Pty Ltd | Lot 1: Khomas | 159,183,765.24 | 0 | (-3,133.62) | 159,180,631.62 | 1 | Recommended |
| 18 | Pamo Trading Enterprises CC | | 198,487,037.34 | 0 | 72,710.90 | 198,559,748.24 | 2 | 1st Alternate |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | | 215,768,611.31 | 0 | (-218,033.79) | 215,550,577.52 | 3 | 2nd Alternate |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | | 226,330,096.88 | 0 | 2,171.94 | 226,332,268.82 | 4 | 3rd Alternate |
| 1 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 2: //Karas | 30,923,308.20 | 0 | (-2,353,286.52) | 28,570,021.68 | 1 | Recommended |
| 19 | ATR Investments CC | | 41,147,586.07 | 0 | (-55559.13) | 41,092,026.94 | 2 | 1st Alternate |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 3: Hardap | 6,130,597.51 | 0 | (-186,812.76) | 5,943,784.75 | 1 | Recommended |
| 3 | Tuthikameni Pamwe Investment CC | Lot 4: Erongo | 27,566,733.30 | 0 | 61,321.28 | 27,608,748.23 | 1 | Recommended |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | | 29,484,400.82 | 0 | (-34,225.36) | 29,450,175.46 | 2 | 4th Alternate (allocated lot 2, 3 and 11) |
| 18 | Pamo Trading Enterprises CC | | 32,692,225.45 | 0 | 8,601.09 | 32,700,826.54 | 3 | 1st Alternate |
| 26 | Nutri Food Pty Ltd JV Trade Vest Holding Group Pty Ltd | | 38,886,305.04 | 0 | 5,286.99 | 38,871,592.03 | 4 | 2nd Alternate |
| 1 | ATR Investments CC | | 46,243,575.48 | 0 | 136,526.01 | 46,382,101.49 | 5 | 3rd Alternate |
| 22 | Ongurukena Investment Fourteen CC | | Lot 5: Otjozondjupa | 33,004,295.29 | 0 | 1,940,434.25 | 34,944,729.54 | 1 |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | 38,964,679.76 | | 0 | (-2,194,828.28) | 36,769,851.48 | 2 | 3rd Alternate (allocated lot 2, 3 and 11) |
| 18 | Pamo Trading Enterprises CC | 46,109,978.79 | | 0 | 8,990.96 | 46,118,969.75 | 3 | 1st Alternate |
| 1 | ATR Investments CC | 55,274,409.63 | | 0 | (-110639.73) | 55,163,769.90 | 4 | 2nd Alternate |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 6: Oshikoto | 52,802,842.67 | 0 | 84,384.04 | 52,887,226.71 | 1 | 1st Alternate (allocated lot 2, 3 and 11) |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | | 58,435,752.14 | 0 | (-776,249.31) | 57,659,502.83 | 2 | Recommended |
| 39 | Azania Nineteen Trading CC | | 39,723,246.00 | 0 | 1,752,141,932,652.00 | 1,752,181,655,898.00 | 3 | 2nd Alternate |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 7: Oshana | 94,486,962.54 | 0 | (-1,000,000.00) | 93,486,962.54 | 1 | 1st Alternate (allocated lot 2, 3 and 11) |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | | 96,257,739.99 | 0 | (-2337.73) | 96,255,402.26 | 2 | Recommended |
| Lot 8: Zambezi Region | | | NOT QUOTED | | | | | |
| 2 | Oshipaya Investment CC | Lot 9: Kavango East & West | 38,426,596.72 | 0 | (-845.91) | 38,425,750.81 | 1 | Recommended |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | | 51,943,838.53 | 0 | 523.93 | 51,944,362.46 | 2 | 1st Alternate |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 10: Kunene | 37,509,355.26 | 0 | (-160,317.49) | 37,349,037.77 | 1 | 1st Alternate (allocated lot 2, 3 and 11) |
| 1 | ATR Investments CC | | 52,749,716.82 | 0 | (-643,720.01) | 52,105,996.81 | 2 | Recommended |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 11: Omaheke | 4,997,467.22 | 0 | (-26,068.47) | 4,971,398.75 | 1 | Recommended |
| 2 | Oshipaya Investment CC | Lot 12: Omusati | 21,550,806.06 | 0 | 3,610.19 | 21,554,416.25 | 1 | Recommended |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | | 23,760,846.61 | 0 | (-7,540.49) | 23,753,306.12 | 2 | 1st Alternate |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 13: Ohangwena | 103,468,311.37 | 0 | (-56,673,442.22) | 46,794,864.15 | 1 | 2nd Alternate (allocated lot 2, 3 and 11) |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | | 109,243,347.92 | 0 | (-58,616,933.86) | 50,626,414.06 | 2 | 1st Alternate (allocated lot 7 and 6) |
| 1 | ATR Investments CC | | 69,752,461.62 | 0 | (-224,138.07) | 69,528,323.55 | 3 | Recommended |
| 39 | Azania Nineteen Trading CC | | 34,553,811.00 | 0 | 1,311,367,927,458.00 | 1,311,402,481,269.00 | 4 | 3rd Alternate |

15. BEST EVALUATED BID(S)

Select For Award of Contract:

Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidders.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, The Board approved in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015, as amended.

| Bidder No. | Bidder's Name | Lots Allocated per Bidder | Total Price (VAT Inclusive) for period of Three (3) Years | Bidder's Address |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 26 | Nutri Food (Pty) Ltd JV Trade Vest Holding Group (Pty) Ltd | Lot 1: Khomas Region | 159,180,631.62 | Prominadenweg Road 36, Eros, Windhoek |
| 19 | James and Young Enterprises CC | Lot 2: //Karas Region | 28,570,021.68 | Unit 12 Brahman Park, Windhoek |
| | | Lot 3: Hardap Region | 5,943,784.75 | |
| | | Lot 11 Omaheke Region | 4,971,398.75 | |
| | | Total Awarded Amount | 39,485,205.18 | |
| 3 | Tuthikameni Pamwe investment CC | Lot 4: Erongo Region | 27,608,748.23 | Erf 3068, Naraville, Walvis Bay |
| 22 | Ongurukena Investment Fourteen CC | Lot 5: Otjozondjupa Region | 34,944,729.54 | Erf No. 931, Orwetoveni, Otjiwarongo |
| 28 | Stream Two Properties CC | Lot 6: Oshikoto Region | 57,659,502.83 | Unit 4, Okaale Lifestyle Centre, Omuthiya Main Road |
| | | Lot 7: Oshana Region | 96,255,402.26 | |
| | | Total Awarded Amount | 153,914,905.09 | |
| 2 | Oshipaya Investment CC | Lot 9: Kavango East & West Region | 38,425,750.81 | Erf No. 9553, Clemence Kapuo, Katutura, windhoek |
| | | Lot 12: Omusati Region | 21,554,416.25 | |
| | | Total Awarded Amount | 59,980,167.06 | |
| 1 | ATR Investments CC | Lot 10: Kunene Region | 52,105,996.81 | Erf No. 1385, Maraboeneg Road, Hochland Park, windhoek |
| | | Lot 13: Oshana Region | 69,528,323.55 | |
| | | Total Awarded Amount | 121,634,320.36 | |

11 August 2023


A. Ngavetene
Chairperson



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**PROCUREMENT OF SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF MEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
DIETARY NEEDS OF PATIENTS
(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: G/OAB/CPBN-01/2022)**

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated _____ and undertake
to immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp:

A.N.