

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Procurement Of Nominated SME Sub-Contracts for The Blading Of Gravel Roads In The Keetmanshoop Region
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/OAB/CPBN-03/2022
3.	Date of Submission of Report	13 December 2022
4.	Contract Number	W/OAB/CPBN-09/2022
5.	Scope of Contract	Procurement Of Nominated SME Sub-Contracts for The Blading Of Gravel Roads In The Keetmanshoop Region
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$ 155,809,881.10 (Incl. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Roads Authority
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open Advertised Bidding
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	29 July 2022
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	28 September 2022
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	28 September 2022 Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	82

13. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
5	Salomon Isaak Blading Contractors Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly fill in the bid submission sheet form <i>as required in ITB 13.1 and Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of bids, Item No. 1 on page 46 of the bidding document.</i> The Bidder only filled in the date and initialed the form, but did not sign nor fill in the rest of the required information on the form. The bid submission form was neither substituted with a letter on the bidder's letterhead.
6	PA Schmidt Trading Enterprises Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly fill in the bid submission sheet form <i>as required in ITB 13.1 and Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of bids, Item No. 1 on page 46 of the bidding document.</i> The Bidder only filled in the date and initialed the form, but did not sign nor fill in the rest of the required information on the form. The bid submission form was neither substituted with a letter on the bidder's letterhead.
21	Umnee Investments Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly fill in the bid submission sheet form <i>as required in ITB 13.1 and Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of bids, Item No. 1 on page 46 of the bidding document.</i> The Bidder did not fill in the bid amounts, the domicile et

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
									executandi and the capacity of the signatory. The bid submission form was neither substituted with a letter on the bidder's letterhead.
42	Carat Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidder has the same witness in common with Bidders No. 43 and 44. • Bidder has the same business contact details (e.g., telephone and fax) in common with Bidders No. 43, 44 and 47. • Bidder has the same email address in common with Bidders No. 43 and 47. • Bidder has the same business address in common with Bidders No. 43. • Hence, the bidder is deemed to have a relationship with other bidders, contrary to ITB 5.3 (d) and Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest, Item No. 1.5.3.3 on page 47 of the bidding document.
43	Tucker Builders and Civil Works Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidder has the same witness in common with Bidders No. 42 and 44. • Bidder has the same business contact details (e.g., telephone and fax) in common with Bidders No. 42, 44 and 47. • Bidder has the same email address in common with Bidders No. 42 and 47. • Bidder has the same business address in common with Bidders No. 42.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
									<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hence, the bidder is deemed to have a relationship with other bidders, contrary to ITB 5.3 (d) and Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest, Item No. 1.5.3.3 on page 47 of the bidding document.
44	Zenela Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder has the same witness in common with Bidders No. 42 and 43. Bidder has the same business contact details (e.g., telephone and fax) in common with Bidders No. 42, 43 and 47. Bidder has the same business address in common with Bidders No. 47. Hence, the bidder is deemed to have a relationship with other bidders, contrary to ITB 5.3 (d) and Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest, Item No. 1.5.3.3 on page 47 of the bidding document.
47	Menell Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder has the same business contact details (e.g., telephone and fax) in common with Bidders No. 42, 43 and 44. Bidder has the same email address in common with Bidders No. 42 and 43. Bidder has the same business address in common with Bidders No. 44.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
1	Lau Tom Constructions Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hence, the bidder is deemed to have a relationship with other bidders, contrary to ITB 5.3 (d) and Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest, Item No. 1.5.3.3 on page 47 of the bidding document. Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder wrote "NONE" at the bottom of the page which the BEC could not allocate to any selection. 	
7	Pelico Engineering Group Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. However, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A". 	

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
8	Traos Investment Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. However, the bidder wrote "N/A" at the top of the page which the BEC could not allocate to any selection.
10	Khorab Bricks and Beton Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A", and signed it.
12	Opuwo Vatu Vahimba Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. However, the bidder wrote "N/A" at the

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage								Reasons Why Bid is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
17	Rex Regis Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>top of the page which the BEC could not allocate to any selection.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted an original TAX Certificate that is not valid at bid closure (Valid from 17/05/2022 to 14/09/2022) contrary to Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.3 on page 48 of the bidding document, as required in ITB 12.1 (f) (b).
19	ETN Technical Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A".
20	B.R.E Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate is not valid (issued on 1st September 2021), as per ITB 12.1 (i) (d) and as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.5 on page 49 of the bidding document.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
25	CAG Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. However, the bidder marked "N/A" on the page.
26	Lela Construction Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. However, the bidder made a selection indicating that there is no pending litigation.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
28	Cascades Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, <i>as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document.</i> The bidder completed the form in full, but selected both options with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not, as well as whether there is a pending litigation or not.
30	Qatar Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate as per ITB 12.1 (i) (d) and <i>as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.5 on page 49 of the bidding document.</i>
31	Pisces Investment Holdings Number Eight Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, <i>as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document.</i> The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A".

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
32	Baobab Trading and Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder referred to an attachment which could not be traced in the book of supporting documents nor in the soft copy.
34	Uzzi Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A".
37	RJ Exclusive Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
38	IKhawagas Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
41	Danny's Building Construction Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, as per ITB 12.1 (i) (d) and as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.5 on page 49 of the bidding document. Bidder only submitted SME certificate.
46	O'Brien and Fortune Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
49	Capital Grow Number Three Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
56	Illimite Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted an expired Employment Equity Commission Certificate, contrary to ITB 12.1 (i) (d) and as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.5 on page 48 of the bidding document. The EECC was issued on 28 July 2021.
57	Blue Ray Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
61	Ondjirakomuru ngu Cleaning Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
									regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
63	Hiamutiti U Investment Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit proof of citizenship in the form of Namibian Identity Documents, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.1 on page 48 of the bidding document.
67	Road Markers Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A."
68	Shouli Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
69	Somseb Trading Enterprises	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
									bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
72	Four Wings Road Constructions Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.
75	H Thirty Three Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, the bidder struck through the page, and marked it "N/A".
77	C.J Contractor and Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed parts of the form, but did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
79	Golden West Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, wrote "NONE" across the page.
80	Goreseb Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder did not complete any part of the form, and did not make any selection with regards to whether a contract non-performance occurred or not. Further, wrote "NONE" across the page.
82	D.P.D Civil and Roads Maintenance Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not duly complete part F3 FORM on page 108, as required in Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation, Item No. 1.5.4.8 on page 49 of the bidding document. The bidder completed the form in full, and made a selection to indicate that contract non-performance did not occur. However, the bidder struck through the page.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
11	Fusion Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder submitted a list of Construction and Road Maintenance works completed by the bidder over the last ten (10) years amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$ 5,000,000.00. However, the submitted proof is neither from the project consultant, principal agent nor project employer as required in ITB 12.1(j)(2) on page 33 of the bidding document.
15	KAI Engineering and 11 Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted a fraudulent reference letter in violation of ITB 4.1(a)(ii) on page 6 of the bidding document.
22	Centurion Investments (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit curriculum vitae of Grader Operator as required in ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 34 of the bidding document. Bidder did not attach consent letter for Grader Operator as required in ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 34 of the bidding document.
27	Iplak Construction Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit consent letter for the project manager as required in ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 34 of the bidding document. Bidder only submitted two consent letters for the site agent.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
36	Colosseum Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder submitted a list of Construction and Road Maintenance works completed by the bidder over the last ten (10) years amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$ 5,000,000.00. However, the submitted proof is neither from the project consultant, principal agent nor project employer as required in ITB 12.1(j)(2) on page 33 of the bidding document.
39	Noasanabis Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder submitted a list of Construction and Road Maintenance works completed by the bidder over the last ten (10) years amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$ 5,000,000.00. However, the submitted proof is neither from the project consultant, principal agent nor project employer as required in ITB 12.1(j)(2) on page 33 of the bidding document.
40	Florida Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not make provision to acquire the Caravans as required in Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, item (3.6 Equipment) on page 64 of the bidding document.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
48	Jacob's Auto Truck Tractor and Earthmoving Repairs Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total of acceptable work value is only N\$ 4,901,662.50 (from Amta). Completion certificate from the Kongom Group of N\$3,650,090.5 is not acceptable because the submitted proof is neither from the project consultant, principal agent nor project employer as required in ITB 12.1(j)(2) on page 33 of the bidding document.
52	MLG Property Consultants Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The value of works with acceptable proof submitted is N\$1,920,615.00 which is below the N\$5,000,000.00 as required in ITB 12.1(j)(2) on page 33 of the bidding document. The bidder did not submit a letter of intent from suppliers for the adequate and efficient supply of Fuel as required in ITB 12.1 (j)(2) of the bidding document on page 34 of the bidding document.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
54	All Road Contractor Cc Jv Klaarmaak Construction and Electrical Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit CV of Project/Contracts Manager as required in ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 34 of the bidding document. Bidder did not submit a signed consent letter for Project/Contracts Manager as required in ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 34 of the bidding document.
62	BLC Supplies CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not make provision to acquire the Caravans as required in Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, item (3.6 Equipment) on page 64 of the bidding document
64	Eponga Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evidentiary proof of completion certificate submitted do not indicate the contract/project amount as required in ITB 12.1(j)(2) of the bidding document on page 34 of the bidding document.
2	Niilotse Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's Average Debt ratio is 0.15 which is less than 1 as required in ITB 12.1 (j) 4 (ii) and Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements of bids, Item No. 2.2.2 on page 53 of the bidding document.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
3	Nessi Park Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified red	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's Current Ratio is 0.98 which is less than 1, thus does not meet the requirement in Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements of bids, Item No. 2.2.2 on page 53 of the bidding document.
18	Geckoh Fifty Eight Investments Group (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified red	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Statements for the first two financial years (FY2020 & FY2021) are not audited as required in ITB 12.1 (j) 4 (ii) and Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements of bids, Item No. 2.2.2 on page 53 of the bidding document.
23	Royal Contractors Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified red	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit three financial statements as required in ITB 12.1 (j) 4 (ii) and Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements of bids, Item No. 2.2.2 on page 53 of the bidding document. However, the bidder only submitted 2 financial statements for FY March 2021 & FY March 2022.
24	Ndes Trading Enterprises Cc Jv Ndes Civil Works and Construction Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified red	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted proof of working capital, but the required minimum amount of N\$788,257.73 (Per GU Lot bidden for) is not indicated as required in ITB 12.1 (j) 4 (i) on page 53 of the bidding document.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
33	Staboo Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The financial statements submitted by the bidder do not include the Balance Sheet. Therefore calculations for both ratios cannot be performed as required in Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements of bids, Item No. 2.2.2 on page 53 of the bidding document.
65	Alugodhi Engineering and Construction Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted proof of working capital, but the required minimum amount of N\$788,257.73 (Per GU Lot bidden for) is not indicated as required in ITB 12.1 (j) 4 (i) on page 53 of the bidding document.
73	Oshikoto Building Construction Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's Current Ratio is 0.18 which is less than 1, thus does not meet the requirement in Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements of bids, Item No. 2.2.2 on page 53 of the bidding document.
4	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
9	Highway Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
13	Vero Group Jv Proventures Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
14	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
16	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
29	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
35	James Young Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
45	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
50	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
51	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
53	Potenza Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation		
55	West Trading Cc Jv Gems Engineering and Road Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
58	Tandii Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
59	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
60	Ekuma Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
66	Dinaledi Investment Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
70	Voit Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
71	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1.5.1: Preliminary Examination of Bids	Stage 1.5.2: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.3: Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.5.4: Legal Admissibility Evaluation	Stage 1.5.5: Technical Evaluation Checklist	Stage 2.1: Technical Scores	Stage 2.2: Financial Requirements and Financial Evaluation	
74	Capital Technical Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
76	Truth Investment Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
78	Namshine Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
81	KWY Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.

14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S):

Lot	Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (VAT Incl) N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Price after Margin of Preference (If Applicable N\$)	Ranking	Recommendation
GU-KHP 03	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,604,718.25	None	None	1	Recommended
	Namshine Investments CC	14,623,352.85	None	None	2	
	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,686,075.00	None	None	3	
	Potenza Investments Cc	14,857,812.83	None	None	4	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,532,567.00	None	None	5	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,560,420.00	None	None	6	
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,569,919.00	None	None	7	
	Bidder No 9: Highway Trading Cc	15,108,500.00	15,795,250.00	None	8	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	15,929,800.00	None	None	9	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,374,524.00	16,072,814.00	None	10	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,333,346.50	None	None	11	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	16,730,981.49	None	None	12	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	17,206,113.70	None	None	13	
	West Trading Cc Jv Gems Engineering and Road Construction (Pty) Ltd	19,726,295.00	None	None	14	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	19,728,507.95	None	None	15	
GU-KHP 06	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,877,550.00	None	None	1	Recommended
	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,891,879.00	None	None	2	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,905,169.55	None	None	3	
	Potenza Investments Cc	15,335,212.35	None	None	4	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,729,890.33	None	None	5	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,821,447.00	None	None	6	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,832,119.00	None	None	7	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,841,438.60	None	None	8	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	16,053,087.54	None	None	9	
	Bidder No 9: Highway Trading Cc	15,382,400.00	16,081,600.00	None	10	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	16,164,045.13	None	None	11	
	Truth Investment Cc	16,179,005.00	None	None	12	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,750,834.00	16,466,781.00	None	13	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,967,514.00	None	None	14	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	17,521,190.70	None	None	15	
	Vero Group Jv Proventures Investments Cc	19,942,150.00	20,074,285.00	None	16	
	West Trading Cc Jv Gems Engineering and Road Construction (Pty) Ltd	20,074,285.00	None	None	17	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	20,103,447.05	None	None	18	
GU-KHP 14	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,660,660.00	None	None	1	
	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,686,753.50	14,663,552.25	None	2	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,667,199.55	14,667,119.55	None	3	Recommended

A.N.

Lot	Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (VAT Incl) N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Price after Margin of Preference (If Applicable N\$)	Ranking	Recommendation
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	14,683,154.00	None	None	4	
	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	15,093,508.50	None	None	5	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,712,525.33	None	None	6	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,787,867.00	None	None	7	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,787,913.00	None	None	8	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,808,925.80	None	None	9	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	15,915,058.80	None	None	10	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	15,956,700.13	None	None	11	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,336,684.00	16,033,806.00	None	12	
	Bidder No 9: Highway Trading Cc	15,352,150.00	16,049,975.00	None	13	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,198,819.50	None	None	14	
	Potenza Investments Cc	16,254,030.72	None	None	15	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,851,998.96	None	None	16	
	Truth Investment Cc	17,043,575.00	None	None	17	
Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	17,377,590.20	None	None	18		
GU-KHP 15	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	15,145,511.50	None	None	1	
	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	15,145,960.00	None	None	2	
	Namshine Investments CC	15,177,144.55	None	None	3	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,695,275.33	None	None	4	
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,710,104.00	None	None	5	Recommended
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,787,867.00	None	None	6	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,800,356.00	None	None	7	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,808,925.80	None	None	8	
	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	15,899,543.50	None	None	9	
	Truth Investment Cc	16,146,575.00	None	None	10	
	Bidder No 9: Highway Trading Cc	15,356,510.00	16,242,715.00	None	11	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	16,412,445.13	None	None	12	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	16,598,636.93	None	None	13	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,913,194.00	16,636,521.00	None	14	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,760,905.00	None	None	15	
	Bidder No 66: Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,849,991.06	None	None	16	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	16,950,641.20	17,054,141.20	None	17	
	Vero Group Jv Proventures Investments Cc	20,822,705.00	19,942,150.00	None	18	
	West Trading Cc Jv Gems Engineering and Road Construction (Pty) Ltd	20,244,715.00	None	None	19	
GU-KHP 17	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,805,372.55	None	None	1	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,816,931.20	None	None	2	
	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,885,830.00	None	None	3	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,652,384.00	15,204,656.00	None	4	Recommended

Lot	Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (VAT Incl) N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Price after Margin of Preference (If Applicable N\$)	Ranking	Recommendation
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,230,117.00	None	None	5	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,338,476.33	None	None	6	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,463,199.00	None	None	7	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,474,354.00	None	None	8	
	Truth Investment Cc	15,531,992.00	None	None	9	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,579,370.62	None	None	10	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	16,084,866.00	None	None	11	
	Highway Trading Cc	15,412,870.00	16,113,455.00	None	12	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,539,877.30	None	None	13	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,774,101.65	None	None	14	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	17,209,593.60	17,290,093.60	None	15	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	17,826,678.49	None	None	16	
	West Trading Cc Jv Gems Engineering and Road Construction (Pty) Ltd	19,663,919.00	None	None	17	
GU-KHP 19	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,541,439.50	None	None	1	
	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,542,580.30	None	None	2	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,548,199.20	None	None	3	
	Potenza Investments Cc	14,941,390.76	None	None	4	Recommended
	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	14,946,193.50	None	None	5	
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,458,829.00	None	None	6	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,582,690.33	None	None	7	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,724,847.00	None	None	8	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,734,668.00	None	None	9	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	15,754,080.00	None	None	10	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,831,652.10	None	None	11	
	Highway Trading Cc	15,209,150.00	15,900,475.00	None	12	
	Truth Investment Cc	15,921,980.00	None	None	13	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,253,084.00	15,946,406.00	None	14	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,121,758.00	None	None	15	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	16,276,085.19	None	None	16	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,718,032.25	None	None	17	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	17,118,932.20	16,954,712.20	None	18	
GU-KHP 22	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,527,260.00	None	None	1	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,554,279.25	None	None	2	
	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	14,638,223.50	None	None	3	Recommended
	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,649,675.20	None	None	4	
	Potenza Investments Cc	14,896,028.75	None	None	5	
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,102,329.00	None	None	6	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,565,842.83	None	None	7	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,737,733.90	None	None	8	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,742,327.00	None	None	9	

Lot	Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (VAT Incl) N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Price after Margin of Preference (If Applicable N\$)	Ranking	Recommendation
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,751,757.00	None	None	10	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	15,764,660.00	None	None	11	
	Truth Investment Cc	15,964,185.00	None	None	12	
	Highway Trading Cc	15,277,350.00	15,971,775.00	None	13	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,038,728.00	None	None	14	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	16,099,273.41	16,099,263.68	None	15	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,330,084.00	16,160,076.00	None	16	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,761,408.86	None	None	17	
Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	16,796,698.75	16,900,198.75	None	18		
GU-KHP 28	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,646,710.50	None	None	1	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,648,917.35	None	None	2	
	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,686,420.00	None	None	3	
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,079,329.00	None	None	4	
	Potenza Investments Cc	15,328,473.84	None	None	5	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,700,852.83	None	None	6	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,778,016.10	None	None	7	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,830,647.00	None	None	8	Recommended
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,844,286.00	None	None	9	
	Truth Investment Cc	16,015,130.00	None	None	10	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,036,646.50	None	None	11	
	Highway Trading Cc	15,390,650.00	16,090,225.00	None	12	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,423,584.00	16,124,656.00	None	13	
	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	16,461,893.50	None	None	14	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,749,275.21	None	None	15	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	16,829,330.00	None	None	16	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	16,921,657.75	None	None	17	
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	17,133,483.79	None	None	18	
GU-KHP 29	Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	14,645,710.00	None	None	1	
	Namshine Investments CC	14,648,917.35	None	None	2	
	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	14,649,459.00	None	None	3	
	Namibia Solutions Services Close Corporation	15,403,629.00	None	None	4	
	Kunene River Construction and Electrical	15,715,802.83	None	None	5	
	Fillemon Investments and Trucks Cc	15,778,016.10	None	None	6	
	Capital Technical Services Cc	15,836,367.00	15,815,697.00	None	7	
	Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	15,841,572.00	None	None	8	Recommended
	Truth Investment Cc	16,015,130.00	None	None	9	
	Tandii Trading Cc	15,457,464.00	16,026,906.00	None	10	
	James Young Enterprises CC	16,072,963.50	None	None	11	
	Highway Trading Cc	15,407,150.00	16,107,475.00	None	12	
	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises Cc	16,829,330.00	None	None	13	
	Dinaledi Investment Cc	16,861,086.50	None	None	14	
	Efindano Maintenance and Construction Services	17,031,319.45	17,134,819.45	None	15	

Lot	Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (VAT Incl) N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Price after Margin of Preference (If Applicable N\$)	Ranking	Recommendation
	Ndahomenwa Trading Enterprises Cc	17,572,448.71	None	None	16	
	Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	18,416,893.50	None	None	17	

15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)

Select For Award of Contract:


Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, The Board approved it in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 as follows:

Bidder Name	Lot Allocated	Price at Bid Opening (VAT Incl) N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Discounts	Proposed Award	Address
Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	GU-KHP 03	14,604,718.25	None	None	14,604,718.25	P O BOX 74 Oshakati
Dynamite Contractors (Pty) Ltd	GU-KHP 06	14,877,550.00	None	None	14,877,550.00	P O BOX 27165 Windhoek Namibia
Namshine Investments CC	GU-KHP 14	14,667,199.55	14,667,119.55	None	14,667,119.55	P O BOX 23216 Windhoek
Namibia Solutions Services Cc	GU-KHP 15	15,710,104.00	None	None	15,710,104.00	P O BOX 25398 Windhoek
Tandii Trading Cc	GU-KHP 17	15,652,384.00	15,204,656.00	None	15,204,656.00	P O BOX 304 Outapi
Potenza Investments Cc	GU-KHP 19	14,941,390.76	None	None	14,941,390.76	P O BOX17 Otjiwarongo
Hibachi Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd	GU-KHP 22	14,638,223.50	None	None	14,638,223.50	P O BOX 24867 Windhoek
Capital Technical Services Cc	GU-KHP 28	15,830,647.00	None	None	15,830,647.00	P O BOX 40877 Ausspannplatz Windhoek.
Volt Investment Cc Jv Bonga Trading Cc	GU-KHP 29	15,841,572.00	None	None	15,841,572.00	P O Box 1387 Oranjemund Namibia

****Please note that the allocation of Lots was done in consideration of bidders awarded contract areas in other regions such as Oshakati, Otjiwarongo and Windhoek, as stated on page 41, item (v) of the bidding document.***

28 April 2023


A. Ngavetene
Chairperson



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**PROCUREMENT OF NOMINATED SME SUB-CONTRACTS FOR THE BLADING OF
GRAVEL ROADS IN THE KEETMANSHOOP REGION
PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: W/OAB/CPBN-03/2022**

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: