

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	<b>Name of Procurement</b>	Procurement of Development Contracts for The Blading of Gravel Roads in The Keetmanshoop Region.
2.	<b>CPBN Procurement Reference No</b>	W/OAB/CPBN-08/2022
3.	<b>Date of Submission of Report</b>	15 December 2022
4.	<b>Contract Number</b>	W/OAB/CPBN-08/2022
5.	<b>Scope of Contract</b>	Procurement of Development Contracts for The Blading of Gravel Roads in The Keetmanshoop Region.
6.	<b>Estimated Cost:</b>	N\$441,355,360.91 (VAT inclusive)
7.	<b>Funding Agency</b>	Roads Authority (RA)
8.	<b>Procurement Method Used</b>	Open Advertised Bidding (OAB)
9.	<b>Date of Invitation of Bids</b>	29 July 2022
10.	<b>Closing Date of Submission of Bids</b>	27 September 2022
11.	<b>Date and Place of Opening of Bids</b>	27 September 2022, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	<b>Number of Bids Received by Closing Date</b>	Twenty-Nine (29)

**MEMBERS OF THE BOARD:** Mr A. Ngavetene (Chairperson), Ms J. !Garus-Oas, Ms E. Nghiidipaa, Ms H. Herman, Mr O. Nangolo, Mr E. Shilongo, Mr M. Kambulu, Ms Mary Shiimi, Ms. E. Shiponeni (Secretary to the Board)

**13. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):**

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
2	Moza Investments CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder's Book of supporting documents is not initiated such as the Curriculum Vitae (CVs) and pages 31 &amp; 34 of the bid document, as well as pages 112-124 of the original BOQ as required in ITB clause 21.2 on page 47 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
3	Colosseum Investments CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not initial supporting documents attached to the bid document (List of Construction Works carried out in the last ten years) as required in ITB clause 21.2 on page 47 of the bidding document;</li> <li>Bidder's Bid Submission form is not duly filled and completed as required in item No.1 of the Preliminary Examination requirements on page 47 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
12	Queen Mwetako Investments CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pages 132 &amp; 136 of the bid document are not initiated as per ITB clause 21.2 on page 47 of the bidding document ;</li> <li>Bidder's bid submission form is not duly filled in and completed as required in item No.1 of the Preliminary Examination requirements on page 47 of the bidding document;</li> <li>The bid document has pages missing hence it is not fully initiated as per ITB clause 21.2 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
28	Odjove Trading and Construction	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder's Bid Submission form is not duly filled and completed as required in item No.1 of the Preliminary Examination requirements on page 47 of the bidding document;</li> <li>Page 47 of the bid document.(states "see attached but the bid submission form attached to the supporting documents is also not duly filled in).</li> <li>CSV Construction Namibia Pty Ltd is in a JV with Linda Shaanika who is a natural person, the JV agreement states that the bidder is submitting the bid in the name of CSV Shaanika Construction (Pty) Ltd, however the bidder did not submit any company registration in the name of CSV Shaanika Construction (Pty) Ltd. Therefore, the bidder does not meet the requirements of section 29(b) of the PPA;</li> </ul>
15	CSV Shaanika Construction (Pty) Ltd JV	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The JV Partner (Ms Shaanika) does not have Tax Good Standing Certificate, Social Security Good Standing Certificate or Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate as required in ITB 12.1 (i)(b)-12.1(c) on page 49 of the bidding document;</li> <li>The bidder failed to comply to criteria 1.5.4.2-1.5.4.5 of the Legal Admissibility requirements as stated in ITBs 12.1 i(a)-12.1 i(d) on page 49 of the bidding document;</li> <li>The special power of attorney from the JV partner (Ms Shaanika) is on CSV's letter head contrary to ITB 21.2 on page 50 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
16	Globex Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not fill in form Part F3 for historical contract non-performance on page 136 of the bidding document as required in criterion 1.5.4.8 of the Legal Admissibility Evaluation.</li> </ul>
1	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder did not submit a letter stating that all unskilled workers will be employed from Keetmanshoop Based Maintenance Region contrary to the requirement in ITB 12.1 (j)(8) on page 51 of the bidding document;</li> <li>Bidder submitted a letter of intent for one (1) caravan whereas the bidder was required to have three (3) caravans as per Section 3, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, Item 3.6 on page 51 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
4	Baobab Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder did not submit a letter stating that all unskilled workers will be employed from Keetmanshoop Based Maintenance Region contrary to the requirement in ITB 12.1 (j)(8) on page 51 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
5	Shatty Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder submitted completion certificates for Construction and Roads Maintenance amounting to N\$ 14 730 000 of which is less than N\$25 000 000.00 minimum required as per ITB 12.1 (j)(2) on page 51 of the bidding document;</li> <li>On 12 October 2022, the BEC sought clarification for Bidder No. 5, Shatty Construction Cc as the letter submitted by the bidder lacked the relevant information regarding a project completed. The letter submitted by the bidder from Karibib Town</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
										Council does not state the project duration and no completion certificate nor award letter was attached for the stated project. Therefore, the letter was not considered.
14	Brandberg Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder did not submit a letter stating that all unskilled workers will be employed from Keetmanshoop Based Maintenance Region contrary to the requirement in ITB 12.1 (j)(8) on page 51 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
18	Earth Ethix Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The letter of intent from suppliers for tyres(s) is addressed to Centurion instead of Earth Ethix, contrary to ITB12.1 (j)(2)(iii) on page 51 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
20	Bothma Road Contractors and Plant Hire CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not provide a method statement where the Namibian civil engineering graduate trainee is listed as per ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 51 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
22	Emirates Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not provide a method statement where the Namibian civil engineering graduate trainee is listed as per ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 51 of the bidding document;</li> <li>Bidder did not provide letter of intent from local services providers for the Grader Monitoring System once awarded the bid, as required under item No. 1.5.5.7 Technical Evaluation Checklist;</li> <li>Bidder only submitted letter of intent stating that they will only get two (2) caravans whereas the requirement is for three (3) caravans under item 3.6 on page 64 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
23	Kettu Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not provide a method statement where the Namibian civil engineering graduate trainee is listed as per ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 51 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
24	Vaalgras Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent from suppliers for tyre(s), grader related equipment and parts; as per ITB12.1 (j)(2)(iii) on page 51 of the bidding document;</li> <li>The bidder did not provide a method statement where the Namibian civil engineering graduate trainee is listed as per ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 51 of the bidding document;</li> <li>Bidder did not provide letter of intent from local services providers for the Grader Monitoring System once awarded the bid, as required under item No. 1.5.5.7 Technical Evaluation Checklist.</li> </ul>	
27	Sumseno Contracting CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder did not submit a letter stating that all unskilled workers will be employed from Keetmanshoop Based Maintenance Region contrary to the requirement in ITB 12.1 (j)(8) on page 51 of the bidding document. .</li> </ul>	
6	Oshikoto Building Construction	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder's Average Current Ratio calculated is 0.18. The Bidder was deemed non-responsive as per criteria 2.2.2 which required a ratio of <math>\geq 1</math>.</li> </ul>	

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
8	Danny's Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder's Average Current Ratio calculated is 0.02. The Bidder was deemed non-responsive as per criteria 2.2.2 which required a ratio of <math>\geq 1</math>.</li> </ul>
10	Sash Trading and Earth Works	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder's Debt Service Cover Ratio (DSCR) calculated is 0.92. The Bidder was deemed non-responsive as per criteria 2.2.2 which required a ratio of <math>\geq 1</math>.</li> </ul>
13	ETN Technical Service	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not submit an original letter of intent from a financial institution. Bidder submitted a copy issued by Nedbank in November 2021. Therefore, the Bidder was deemed non-responsive as per criteria 2.2.1 which requires an originally issued and stamped statement from a financial institution as proof, or a letter of intent from any legally registered Financial Institution, or proof of credit facility from a financial institution stating an amount of <b>N\$3,856,746.62</b>.</li> </ul>
19	Otesa Civil Engineering (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder's Working capital does not amount to the required minimum amount of N\$3,856,746.62, as per Financial Requirement (Item No.: 2.2.1) on page 54 of the bidding document. Bidder only has an overdraft facility from Bank Windhoek, in a letter dated 21 April 2021 for an amount of N\$500,000 and another for N\$2,500,000.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
9	Namibia Weiding Building & Civil Works cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
17	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
21	NGC Investment CC JV NextGen Investment CC JV HBHJE Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination of the bids	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility Check	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Technical Evaluation Scores	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
29	John Namusheshe Construction & Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified

**14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S):**

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Code	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Difference	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price (N\$)	15% Up/Down Threshold (Yes/No)	Budget (N\$)	15% Down	15% up	Rank
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC	DC-KHP 01	59,996,181.95	-	59,996,181.95	Yes	63,867,671.60	54,278,935.86	73,436,207.34	4
9	Namibia Welding Building & Civil Works cc		61,867,958.75	-	61,867,958.75	Yes				5
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC		58,624,424.00	-	58,624,424.00	Yes				3
17	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd		76,507,914.55	-	76,507,914.55	No				NR
21	NGC Investment CC JV NextGen Investment CC JV HBHJE Investment CC		68,553,915.00	-	68,553,915.00	Yes				7
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc		57,462,245.50	-	57,462,245.50	Yes				2
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd		66,585,931.50	-	66,585,931.50	Yes				6
29	John Namusheshe & Investments CC		55,050,592.00	-	55,050,592.00	Yes				1
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC		DC-KHP 02	80,184,840.20		80,184,840.20				Yes
9	Namibia Welding Building & Civil Works cc	78,474,505.00			78,474,505.00	Yes	4			
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	74,484,511.00		-	74,484,511.00	Yes	3			
17	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	92,754,729.30			92,754,729.30	Yes	8			
21	NGC Investment CC JV NextGen Investment CC JV HBHJE Investment CC	89,776,950.00			89,776,950.00	Yes	7			
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc	73,870,204.00		533,945.00	73,336,259.00	Yes	2			
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd	86,109,746.00			86,109,746.00	Yes	6			
29	John Namusheshe & Investments CC	71,954,373.00			71,954,373.00	Yes	1			
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC	DC-KHP 03		94,575,674.55		94,575,674.55	Yes	97,370,361.92	82,764,807.63	111,975,916.21
9	Namibia Welding Building & Civil		96,974,762.00		96,974,762.00	Yes	6			
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC		91,600,174.90	-	91,600,174.90	Yes	3			
17	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd		111,912,895.64		111,912,895.64	Yes	8			
21	NGC Investment CC JV NextGen Investment CC JV HBHJE Investment CC		104,382,165.00		104,382,165.00	Yes	7			
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc		84,623,900.00		84,623,900.00	Yes	1			
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd		94,897,145.55		94,897,145.55	Yes	5			
29	John Namusheshe & Investments CC		88,004,923.00		88,004,923.00	Yes	2			
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC		DC-KHP 04	94,728,385.35		94,728,385.35	Yes			
9	Namibia Welding Building & Civil	96,792,929.75			96,792,929.75	Yes	6			
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	91,402,770.50		-	91,402,770.50	Yes	3			
17	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	111,561,412.09			111,561,412.09	Yes	8			
21	NGC Investment CC JV NextGen Investment CC JV HBHJE Investment CC	101,319,163.00			101,319,163.00	Yes	7			
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc	85,007,712.50			85,007,712.50	Yes	1			
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd	94,197,241.75			94,197,241.75	Yes	4			
29	John Namusheshe & Investments CC	87,813,945.00			87,813,945.00	Yes	2			
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC	DC-KHP 05		95,579,879.85		95,579,879.85	Yes	98,759,934.32	83,945,944.17	113,573,924.47
9	Namibia Welding Building & Civil		97,811,007.50		97,811,007.50	Yes	6			
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC		91,350,767.50	-	91,350,767.50	Yes	3			
17	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd		116,289,096.99		116,289,096.99	No	8			
21	NGC Investment CC JV NextGen Investment CC JV HBHJE Investment CC		105,916,552.50		105,916,552.50	Yes	7			
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc		84,419,959.00		84,419,959.00	Yes	1			
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd		97,300,189.00		97,300,189.00	Yes	5			
29	John Namusheshe & Investments CC		88,302,623.50		88,302,623.50	Yes	2			
BEC combined total value for all five (5) recommended Lots (N\$):					418,530,680.30					

A.N

**15. BEST EVALUATED Bid(s) SELECTED FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT: BEST EVALUATED SUBSTANTIALLY RESPONSIVE BIDDERS.**

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved the report the BEC in terms of Section 9(1)(l)(i) and Section 55 (5) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 as amended, as follows.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Code	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Difference	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price (N\$)	Rank
25	Luka Roads Rails and Civils cc	DC-KHP 01	57,462,245.50	-	57,462,245.50	1
11	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	DC-KHP 02	74,484,511.00	-	74,484,511.00	3
7	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC	DC-KHP 03	94,575,674.55	-	94,575,674.55	4
26	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd	DC-KHP 04	94,197,241.75	-	94,197,241.75	4
9	Namibia Welding Building & Civil	DC-KHP 05	97,811,007.50	-	97,811,007.50	6
Combined total value for all five (5) recommended Lots (N\$):					418,530,680.30	

19 April 2023

A. Ngavetene  
Chairperson



**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**PROCUREMENT OF DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTS FOR THE BLADING OF GRAVEL  
ROADS IN THE KEETMANSHOOP REGION.  
PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: W/OAB/CPBN-08/2022**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to  
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....

A.N.