

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Procurement of the Construction of the Naute-Keetmanshoop Water Pipeline Replacement and Ancillary Works
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/ONB/CPBN-01/2023
3.	Date of Submission of Report	28 September 2023
4.	Contract Number	W/ONB/CPBN-01/2023
5.	Scope of Contract	Procurement of the Construction of the Naute-Keetmanshoop Water Pipeline Replacement and Ancillary Works
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$178,719,850.00 (Excl. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Namwater
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open National Bidding (ONB), Section 29 (1)(b)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	16 June 2023
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	22 August 2023
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	22 August 2023, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Sixteen (16)

13. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
3	Otea Civil Engineering (Pty) Ltd JV Makalani Engineering CC JV JNJ Trading CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder failed to initial clarifications 11-13 receipt forms as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.
8	China Jiangsu International Namibla JV S. Shikongo Construction & Renovation CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Form Part F5- Historical Financial Performance as required in criterion 1.6.1.7 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.
9	AN Construction CC JV KL Construction (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial financial statements for the year ended 30 June 2018 (about 100 pages are not initialed) as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage; The bidder did not duly fill in the Bills of Quantity as required in criterion 1.6.1.7 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage. The BEC noted that the amendment 01 page 1-3 of 4 is not initiated by the person duly authorized to initial the document as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.;
10	Tube-O Flex Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial supporting documents (Contract from a previous project) and a reference letter from Rossing Uranium;

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
											<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Forms F3-F10 as required in criterion 1.6.1.7 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage. The bidder did not initial the Consent Letter for Francois Vershueren (Pipe Laying Foreman) as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage. Form Part E2: Resume of Proposed Personnel is not initialed (three pages not initialed) for Ms Veronica as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.
12	Valomek Civil JV China Railway Seventh Group Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Form Part E2: Resume of Proposed Personnel is not initialed (three pages not initialed) for Ms Veronica as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.
13	C.K Heydt Civils CC JV Unik Construction Engineering Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Form Part F5: Historical Financial Performance as required in criterion 1.6.1.7 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.
14	KSP Civils CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder altered with the content of the Bid Submission Form compared to the content of the originally issued bid document contrary to criterion 1.6.1.1 of the preliminary evaluation stage; The bidder did not initial the CV of Mr Kerubin Pahula as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage
15	Ndakalimwe Investments CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the CV of Mr. Ruben Kandjambanga and the appendices of the Environmental Management Plan as required in criterion 1.6.1.4 of the Preliminary Evaluation Stage.;

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
											<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder altered with the content of the Bid Submission Form compared to the content of the originally issued bid document. The bidder submitted an incomplete Labor compliance form (not signed and dated); The Bills of Quantity not initialed; and The bidder did not initial the ID of Mr Siegfried Geingob.
16	SS Kunene Trading CC JV AVIC-INTL Project Engineering Company	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in the Bid Securing Declaration. The Responsiveness Criteria Checklist is not signed. As all are required in criteria 1.6.1.4;1.6.1.7;1.6.1.8 and 1.6.1.10 respectively. 	
6	SIKU Investments JV China Jiangxi International	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted an invalid Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate contrary to criterion 1.6.4.5 of Mandatory Evaluation. The certificate was issued on 12 August 2022, meaning that it was not valid at time of bid closing (22nd August 2023). The bidder did not either provide a confirmation letter indicating that bidder has submitted the report for the period following from date when certificate was issued from Employment Equity Commission. 	

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
1	Zhong Mei (Pty) Ltd JV Luka Roads Rails & Civils CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a CV and consent letter for the Earthworks Foreman; however, these two documents are not referring to the same person the bidder has listed as the key personnel for this position. The CV submitted reflects Mr Wang Qing Sheng, whereas the consent letter reflects Mr Zhu Jianhua as the Earthworks Foreman, contrary to criterion 1.6.5.2 of Technical Evaluation. The bidder submitted an Acceptance Letter and Reference Letters; however, these documents do not specify the diameter of the pipe as required in item 1.6.5.4 of the evaluation criteria and ITB 12.1(j)(1); The dozer listed on the list of plants and equipment is not registered with NATIS, and no documentary proof was attached as required in item 1.6.5.5 of the evaluation criteria and ITB 12.1(j)(3). Three (3) Concrete Trucks out of the four (4), required as per ITB 12.1(i)(2), were listed as Tipper trucks on the NATIS registration certificates provided by the bidder.
2	CSV Construction Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder provided a reference letter for works completed; however, the bidder did not provide completion certificates for the RA projects (Mariental DC + Bethanie DC-KHP 05) for Swakop Uranium no completion Certificates were attached as per ITB12.1(i)(1);

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
4	Adaptive Building Land Construction CC JV China State Construction Engineering Corporation (Pty)	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted take over certificates, however no reference letters are provided as required in criterion 1.6.5.4 of the evaluation criteria and ITB 12.1(j)(2); The bidder did not provide registration certificates from NATIS or Letters of Intent to lease from suppliers for the Dozer, Hydraulic Excavator, Tipper Trucks, 1 of the Water Bowser and Front Loaders as required in criterion 1.6.5.4 of Technical Evaluation. The bidder provided a reference letter for project in similar works completed, however, the reference letter does not indicate the project value nor give a brief description of the scope of work done as required in ITB 12(j)(2); Schwartzkuppe project: The bidder provided a reference letter and take over certificate, however, the reference letter does not specify the diameter of the pipe as required in ITB 12(j)(2); The bidder only attached a tax invoice for the Batching plant. No proof of ownership and asset register were provided as per bid document ITB 12.1(j)(3).
5	Nexus Civils JV M.E.S Investments	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder only provided one CV for the engineering graduate student, whereas the requirement stated a minimum of two engineering students as per ITB 12.1 (j)(5);

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation	
11	Shafa Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder provided completion certificates and award letters for general construction works completed, however, no reference letters were attached as required in criterion 1.6.5.3 of Technical Evaluation; The bidder provided completion certificates and award letters for similar works completed, however, no reference letters were attached (In the name of EMS Contractors CC). The bidder has however indicated that MES is trading as EMS Contractors, but no documentary proof was attached to many these two entities. The bidder did not attach consent letters for all employees dedicated to this project as required in criterion 1.6.5.2 of Technical Evaluation. The bidder provided a list of general construction works completed but the projects do not meet the financial amount of N\$93 665 000 cumulative with a minimum of N\$40 million project value as per ITB 12.1 (i) (2). The bidder provided a list of minimum one (1) project in similar works completed but the project do not meet the financial amount of N\$15,000,000.00 as per ITB 12.1 (i) (2). The bidder did not submit plants required such as the Batching plant, front loader and concrete truck. No

#	Name of the Bidders)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage-1: Preliminary Examination	Stage-1: Eligibility Evaluation	Stage-1: Conflict of Interest Evaluation	Stage-1: Legal Admissibility	Stage-2: Technical Evaluation	Stage-3: Evaluation Scores	Stage 4: Financial Requirements	Stage-4: Financial Evaluation		
											<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit plants required such as the Batching plant, front loader and concrete truck. No letters of intent or Asset register was attached as per ITB 12.1 (j) (3).

14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S):

Bidder No.	Bidder's No Name	Amount quoted N\$ Inclusive (VAT)	Arithmetically Corrected Amount quoted N\$ Inclusive (VAT)	Proposed Award Amount (Inclusive VAT) (N\$)	Physical Address
7	JDN Civil Engineering CC JV New Era Investment (Pty) Ltd	184,977,709.76	184,977,709.76	184,977,709.76	No. 74 Frans Indongo Street, Windhoek/ Unit 48 Sun Industrial, Windhoek

15. BEST EVALUATED BID(S) SELECTED FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT: BEST EVALUATED SUBSTANTIALLY RESPONSIVE BIDDERS.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved the report of the BEC in terms of Section 9(1)(l)(i) and Section 55 (5) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 as amended, as follows.

Bidder No.	Bidder's No Name	Amount quoted N\$ Inclusive (VAT)	Arithmetically Corrected Amount N\$ Inclusive (VAT)	Proposed Award Amount (Inclusive VAT) (N\$)	Physical Address
7	JDN Civil Engineering CC JV New Era Investment (Pty) Ltd	184,977,709.76	184,977,709.76	184,977,709.76	No. 74 Frans Indongo Street, Windhoek/ Unit 48 Sun Industrial, Windhoek

23 October 2023


A. Ngavetene
Chairperson



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**PROCUREMENT OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NAUTE-KEETMANSHOOP WATER
PIPELINE REPLACEMENT AND ANCILLARY WORKS
PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: W/ONB/CPBN-01/2023**

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: